



PATENT  
450101-2980.2**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant : Tsuyoshi Oda  
Serial No. : 09/474,479  
Filed : December 29, 1999  
For : **PICTURE ENCODING METHOD, PICTURE  
ENCODING APPARATUS AND PICTURE  
RECORDING MEDIUM**  
Examiner : Anand Shashikant Rao  
Art Unit : 2613

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
NOV 18 2005**745 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10151**FACSIMILE**

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to 571-  
273-8300 to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown  
below.

Type or print name of  
person signing certification

Signature

November 18, 2005

Date of Signature

**STATUS INQUIRY**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please advise the undersigned attorney of the status of the above-referenced  
application and as to when we may expect to receive further consideration in this case.

A Request For Continued Examination was filed on August 30, 2004 and an  
Information Disclosure Statement was filed on October 21, 2004.

PATENT  
450101-2980.2


The last communication from the PTO was mailed on December 12, 2004 which specified the substance of an Interview Summary between the Examiner and Mr. Smid that "a notice of allowance has been issued by the Examiner ..." A copy of that Interview Summary is enclosed. As of this date, a Notice of Allowance has not been received by the Applicant's attorney.

Nearly a year has passed since receipt of the above-mentioned communication from the PTO and the applicant is anxious to receive the Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP

By:

  
William S. Frommer  
Reg. No. 23,506  
(212) 588-0800

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/474,479	ODA, TSUYOSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andy S. Rao	2613	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andy S. Rao. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Dennis Smid. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 10 December 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner informed Mr. Smid that the Notice of Non-Compliance sent out does not need to be responded to as this case is a reissue application and the prior submitted amendment was fully compliant with amendment practice for reissue applications. The Examiner also informed Mr. Smid that a notice of allowance has been issued by the Examiner and that prosecution as to the merits of the claims is now closed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

ANDY RAO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required